

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. **Date of Submission:** 2010-03-18 18:58:08

2. **Agency:** 028

3. **Bureau:** 00

4. **Name of this Investment:** OCA: Loan Management and Accounting System (LMAS)

5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier:** 028-00-01-01-01-0000-00

6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011?:** Full-Acquisition

- Planning
- Full Acquisition
- Operations and Maintenance
- Mixed Life Cycle
- Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? ***

8. **Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.**

The scope of the LMAS is to implement FSIO (JFMIP) compliant: - Integrated loan management system that supports loan origination, loan servicing, and loan liquidation - Integrated Financial Management System that supports loan accounting and leverages the existing administrative accounting system A project baseline was established and approved at the Integrated Baseline Review (IBR) in calendar year 2009. Full Acquisition will be done INCREMENTALLY between 2009 and 2015. Gaps Addressed by LMAS: SBA's legacy loan processing and accounting systems do not comply with FSIO's Core Financial, Direct Loan and Guaranteed Loan System Requirements. The legacy systems are inflexible and based on obsolete technology. These stove piped systems are very expensive to maintain. They don't meet SBA's current business needs and are very expensive to change to meet current and future business needs. They are not compliant with Federal Security requirements. They are inefficient, outdated and don't take advantage of the technological advances. They have been in place for approximately 30 years and significantly contribute to the amount of data reconciliation and manual processes required to do business. They present a significant risk to the Agency. Expected Benefits: - FSIO compliant system - Faster time to originate & service loans and loan guarantees - Standardized set of data elements across all loan programs - Integrated system with non-redundant databases that will eliminate expensive data reconciliations - Real-time or near real-time processing that will simplify the system, increase data integrity and provide timely and accurate information for decision-making - Compliance with FMLoB, an E-Gov initiative - Compliance with security standards - Scalable, extensible, flexible, and highly available system - Use of widely used modern technologies will reduce the development and maintenance cost Risk: Not funding LMAS will cause non-compliance with FSIO and security requirements and seriously limit SBA's capability to accomplish its mission. Over the next 10 years, the cost of maintaining status quo will be more than the cost of modernizing it.

- a. **Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned) alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a risk management plan and risk register.**

9. **Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? ***

a.If "yes," what was the date of this approval? *

10. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

- Name: *
- Phone Number: *
- Email: *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)? *

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-PMPM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/OM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):

Financial management system name(s)	System acronym	Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number
*	*	*

a. If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMIA compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one): *

- computer system security requirement;
- internal control system requirement;
- core financial system requirement according to FSIO standards;
- Federal accounting standard;
- U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level;
- this is a core financial system, but does not address a FFMIA compliance area;
- Not a core financial system; does not need to comply with FFMIA

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY1 and earlier	PY 2009	CY 2010	BY 2011	BY+1 2012	BY+2 2013	BY+3 2014	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SUBTOTAL:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL(including FTE costs)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2010 President’s Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. **Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table**

Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)
SBAHQ06F0158 (MacroSolutions - Program Management Services Contract)	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2006-03-28	2006-03-28	2007-09-30	\$0.6	*	*	*	*	*
SBAHQ07F0236 (Panum - Acquisition Support Contract)	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2007-06-18	2007-07-01	2008-09-30	\$0.4	*	*	*	*	*
SBAHQ08A0042/T0001 (TestPros - Quality Assurance and Independent Validation and Verification Contract)	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2008-09-19	2008-09-19	2013-02-28	\$2.7	*	*	*	*	*
SBAHQ08A0040/T0001 (DNC - Program Management Services Contract)	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2008-09-15	2008-09-15	2013-09-14	\$4.9	*	*	*	*	*
SBAHQ08A0043/T0005 (SRA -R12 Oracle Upgrade)	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2009-09-16	2009-10-13	2011-02-11	\$6.5	*	*	*	*	*
SBAHQ08A0043/T0006 (SRA - Disaster Loan Moderniz	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2009-09-16	2009-10-13	2012-02-15	\$32.8	*	*	*	*	*

Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table

Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)
SBAHQ08A0043/T0001 (SRA -LMAS Hosting)	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2009-09-30	2009-10-14	2018-09-28	\$53.7	*	*	*	*	*

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

*

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? *

a.If "yes," what is the date? *

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle.	0	none in 2007	none
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	none in 2007	none
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	none in 2007	none
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)	0	none in 2007	none
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.	0	none in 2007	none
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	none in 2007	none

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe	0	none in 2007	none
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.	0	none in 2007	none
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle	0	none in 2008	none
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	none in 2008	none
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	none in 2008	none

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
support services							
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)	0	none in 2008	none
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.	0	none in 2008	none
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	none in 2008	none
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe	0	none in 2008	none
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.	0	none in 2008	none
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to	0	none in 2009	none

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services			provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle.			
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmp compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	none in 2009	none
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	none in 2009	none
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)	0	none in 2009	none
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.	0	none in 2009	none
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	none in 2009	none
2009	Ensure that all	*	*	number of loan	0	none in 2009	none

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services			programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe			
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.	0	none in 2009	none
2010	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle.	0	none in 2010	tbd
2010	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	none in 2010	tbd
2010	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	none in 2010	tbd
2010	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m	0	none in 2010	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services			per year)			
2010	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.	0	none in 2010	tbd
2010	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	none in 2010	tbd
2010	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe	0	none in 2010	tbd
2010	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.	0	none in 2010	tbd
2011	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the	0	none in 2011	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				loan lifecycle.			
2011	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	none in 2011	tbd
2011	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	none in 2011	tbd
2011	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)	0	none in 2011	tbd
2011	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.	0	none in 2011	tbd
2011	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	none in 2011	tbd
2011	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe	0	none in 2011	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	executive leadership and support services						
2011	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.	0	none in 2011	tbd
2012	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle.	0	1	tbd
2012	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	1	tbd
2012	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	none in 2012	tbd
2012	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)	0	none in 2012	tbd
2012	Ensure that all SBA programs	*	*	number of sba loan programs	0	1	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services			that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.			
2012	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	none in 2012	tbd
2012	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe	0	1	tbd
2012	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.	0	1	tbd
2013	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle.	0	2	tbd
2013	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial	0	2	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	with high quality executive leadership and support services			management system			
2013	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	none in 2013	tbd
2013	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)	0	none in 2013	tbd
2013	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.	0	2	tbd
2013	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	none in 2013	tbd
2013	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe	0	2	tbd
2013	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online	0	2	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services			transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.			
2014	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle.	0	4	tbd
2014	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	4	tbd
2014	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	2	tbd
2014	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)	0	\$1m	tbd
2014	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management	0	4	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				system.			
2014	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	3	tbd
2014	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe	0	4	tbd
2014	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.	0	4	tbd
2015	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle.	0	5	tbd
2015	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	5	tbd
2015	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	1	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services						
2015	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)	0	\$5m	tbd
2015	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.	0	5	tbd
2015	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	1	tbd
2015	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe	0	5	tbd
2015	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy	0	5	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				requirements.			
2016	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle.	0	5	tbd
2016	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	5	tbd
2016	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	1	tbd
2016	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)	0	\$5m	tbd
2016	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.	0	5	tbd
2016	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	1	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	with high quality executive leadership and support services						
2016	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe	0	5	tbd
2016	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.	0	5	tbd
2017	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle.	0	5	tbd
2017	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	5	tbd
2017	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	1	tbd
2017	Ensure that all	*	*	cost savings	0	\$5m	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services			from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)			
2017	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.	0	5	tbd
2017	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	1	tbd
2017	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are completely off the legacy mainframe	0	5	tbd
2017	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.	0	5	tbd
2018	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use web based technologies to provide lenders	0	5	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services			and users the ability to electronically do business throughout the loan lifecycle.			
2018	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs that are jfmip compliant and use ffmia compliant financial management system	0	5	tbd
2018	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of accounting systems (3 to 1)	3	1	tbd
2018	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	cost savings from retiring mainframe system and other legacy systems (\$5m per year)	0	\$5m	tbd
2018	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of sba loan programs that use streamlined real time processes implemented through integrated loan management and financial management system.	0	5	tbd
2018	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of data centers (4 to 1)	4	1	tbd
2018	Ensure that all SBA programs	*	*	number of loan programs that	0	5	tbd

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services			are completely off the legacy mainframe			
2018	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	*	*	number of loan programs supported by online transaction processing (oltp) applications that are fully compliant with the federal security and privacy requirements.	0	5	tbd

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
PROJ: Micro Loans (Planning Package) (1.120) - Contractor	*	*	2011-10-03		2013-02-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Micro Loans (Planning Package) (1.120) - Government Labor and Incentives	*	*	2011-10-03		2013-02-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: 7A Gaurantee Loan Servicing (Planning Package) (1.100) - Government Labor and Incentives	*	*	2011-03-10		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: 7A Gaurantee Loan Servicing (Planning Package) (1.100) - Contractor Labor	*	*	2011-03-11		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Guarantee Loan Servicing SBIC (Planning Package) (1.140) - Government Labor and Incentives	*	*	2012-09-03		2014-02-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Guarantee Loan Servicing SBIC (Planning Package) (1.140) - Contractor	*	*	2012-09-03		2014-02-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Local Loan Accounting & Financial Reporting	*	*	2014-02-14		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
(Planning Package) (1.160) - Government Labor and Incentives								
PROJ: Local Loan Accounting & Financial Reporting (Planning Package) (1.160) - Contractor	*	*	2014-02-14		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Guarantee Loan Origination (Planning Package) (1.150) - Government Labor and Incentives	*	*	2013-12-03		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Guarantee Loan Origination (Planning Package) (1.150) - Contractor	*	*	2013-12-03		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Guarantee Loan Servicing 504 (Planning Package) (1.130) - Government Labor and Incentives	*	*	2013-03-01		2014-06-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Guarantee Loan Servicing 504 (Planning Package) (1.130) - Contractor	*	*	2013-03-01		2014-06-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Purchase Licenses (1.60) - Purchase Licenses	\$4.4	\$4.3	2009-05-01	2009-05-01	2009-05-29	2009-05-29	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Blueprint and IBR - B1(1.50) - Contractor	\$1.1	\$1.1	2008-09-25	2008-09-24	2009-08-31	2009-12-31	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Blueprint and IBR - B1(1.50)	\$0.1	\$0.0	2008-09-25	2008-09-25	2009-08-31	2009-12-31	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
- Government								
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 2 - Labor	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 2 - Incentive	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 3 - Labor	*	*	2011-10-03		2012-09-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 3 - Incentive	*	*	2011-10-03		2012-09-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 6 - Labor	*	*	2014-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 6 - Incentive	*	*	2014-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Base Year - Incentive	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-03-21		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 1 - Incentive	*	*	2010-04-01		2010-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 9 - Labor	*	*	2017-10-02		2018-09-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 9 - Incentive	*	*	2017-10-02		2018-09-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 4 - Incentive	*	*	2012-10-01		2013-09-27		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 4 - Labor	*	*	2012-10-03		2013-10-02		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 5 - Labor	*	*	2013-10-01		2014-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 5 - Incentive	*	*	2013-10-01		2014-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 7 - Incentive	*	*	2015-10-01		2016-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 7 - Labor	*	*	2015-10-01		2016-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 8 - Labor	*	*	2016-10-03		2017-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - Government Option Year 8 - Incentive	*	*	2016-10-03		2017-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting Option Year 1	*	*	2010-04-01		2010-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting Option Year 2	*	*	2010-09-30		2011-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting Option Year 3	*	*	2011-09-29		2012-09-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting Option Year 4	*	*	2012-10-01		2013-09-27		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting Option Year 5	*	*	2013-09-30		2014-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting Option Year 6	*	*	2014-09-30		2015-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting Option Year 6	*	*	2015-09-30		2016-09-29		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting Option Year 7								
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting Option Year 8	*	*	2016-09-30		2017-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting Option Year 9	*	*	2017-10-02		2018-09-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting (1.70) - LMAS Hosting - Base Year	\$2.6	\$2.0	2009-11-12	2009-11-12	2010-03-31		76.00%	75.96%
PROJ: Proof of Concept 7(a) Regular Loan Program (1.40) - Government	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-03-02	2008-09-25	2009-04-28	2009-05-30	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Proof of Concept 7(a) Regular Loan Program (1.40) - Contractor Effort	\$2.8	\$2.8	2008-10-01	2008-09-25	2009-04-30	2009-05-30	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Production Readiness	*	*	2010-03-24		2011-02-11		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Production Support	*	*	2010-09-03		2011-02-10		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Preliminary Setup Changes Documents	*	*	2010-03-24		2010-05-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Setup Changes Documents Finalization	*	*	2010-04-02		2010-06-04		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Application Setup Analysis	*	*	2010-03-10		2010-03-23		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - RICEW (and Views)	*	*	2010-03-10		2010-06-09		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - R12 Infrastructure	\$0.2	\$0.1	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2011-02-11		31.29%	27.87%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Test	*	*	2010-04-28		2010-10-26		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Training	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2010-08-12		12.60%	12.60%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Functional Test	*	*	2010-02-24		2010-05-18		4.09%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - GOLD Instance	*	*	2010-02-24		2010-03-04		28.57%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Functional Testing Instance	*	*	2010-02-24		2010-03-09		20.00%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Development Instance for RICEW Development	*	*	2010-02-24		2010-03-09		20.00%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Update CMP	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-10-13	2009-10-16	2009-12-16	2010-02-25	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Business Process Mapping Update	\$0.7	\$0.7	2009-10-27	2009-10-13	2010-02-22	2010-03-11	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Review and Preparation	\$0.2	\$0.2	2009-10-21	2009-10-16	2009-11-25	2009-11-20	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Go Forward Proposal (if Reimplementation)	\$0.3	\$0.2	2009-12-11	2009-12-14	2010-02-23		100.00%	98.33%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Production Migration Plan (PMIP)	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2009-12-09	2009-12-11	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Project Start-Up / Execution	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2009-11-03	2009-11-12	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Task Order Closeout	*	*	2010-11-05		2011-01-06		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Project Execution and Oversight	\$1.4	\$0.4	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2010-12-29		37.47%	31.60%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Task Order Management	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2009-12-23	2010-02-05	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Security and Compliance	\$0.2	\$0.0	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2010-10-07		6.45%	4.91%
PROJ: R12 Upgrade (1.90) - Government Labor and Incentives	\$0.9	\$0.0	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2011-02-11		30.03%	6.03%
PROJ: Initiate the Project(1.1) - Contractor	\$0.1	\$0.1	2005-11-10	2005-11-10	2007-05-08	2007-05-08	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Initiate the Project(1.1) - Government	\$0.0	\$0.0	2006-09-19	2006-09-19	2007-05-08	2007-05-09	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Future Planning Package (Mar 2013 through Sep 2013)	*	*	2013-03-01		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Future	*	*	2013-10-01		2014-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Planning Package FY 2014								
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Future Planning Package FY 2015	*	*	2014-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 1 Closeout	\$0.1	\$0.1	2010-01-29	2010-01-26	2010-02-26		99.38%	89.83%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 3 Closeout	*	*	2012-01-30		2012-02-27		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 4 Closeout	*	*	2013-01-29		2013-02-26		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 2 Closeout	*	*	2011-01-31		2011-02-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 1 Contractor's LMAS Processes	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-03-26	2009-03-26	2009-05-05		100.00%	98.73%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 1 Contractor Process Audits	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-12-01	2010-02-02	2010-01-29	2010-02-18	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
PROJ: Quality	\$0.4	\$0.4	2009-03-04	2009-03-04	2010-02-26		99.60%	99.60%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 1 Contractor's LMAS QA Evaluation Process Map	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-05-05	2009-05-05	2010-01-05		100.00%	97.95%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 1 Task Order Management	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-03-04	2009-03-04	2010-01-28		100.00%	98.81%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 1 LMAS Quality Assurance Materials	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-03-26	2009-03-26	2009-09-01		100.00%	96.55%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 3 Task Order Management	*	*	2011-04-12		2011-10-11		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 3 Contractor Process Audits	*	*	2011-03-01		2012-01-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 3 Process Improvement	*	*	2011-03-01		2011-04-12		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality	*	*	2011-03-01		2012-01-20		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Assurance/Independent Validation and								
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 4 Recurring Project Events	*	*	2012-03-01		2013-01-22		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 4 Contractor Process Audits	*	*	2012-03-02		2013-01-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 4 Process Improvement	*	*	2012-03-01		2012-04-12		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 4 Task Order Management	*	*	2012-04-12		2012-10-11		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 2 Recurring Project Events	*	*	2010-03-01		2011-01-20		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 2 Contractor Process Audits	*	*	2010-03-01		2011-01-31		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
PROJ: Quality	*	*	2010-04-12		2010-09-27		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Option Year 2 Process Improvement	\$0.0	\$0.0	2010-03-01	2010-02-26	2010-04-12		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Quality Assurance/Independent Validation and Verification(1.20) - Base Year Contractor Effort	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-03-03	2009-03-03	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Data Warehouse BI (Planning Package) (1.110) - Government Labor and Incentives	*	*	2013-03-11		2013-03-15		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Data Warehouse BI (Planning Package) (1.110) - Contractor Labor	*	*	2013-03-11		2013-03-15		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Transition for Hosting Services (1.30) - Contractor	\$1.0	\$1.0	2008-09-25	2008-09-25	2009-04-14	2009-04-21	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Transition for Hosting Services (1.30) - Government	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-09-25	2008-09-25	2009-04-14	2009-04-21	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Develop Exhibit 300 (1.2) - Initial exhibit 300	\$0.2	\$0.2	2006-05-01	2006-05-01	2006-09-14	2006-09-11	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Project Planning (1.3) - Phase 2 Contractor Labor	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-29	2009-09-29	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Project Planning (1.3) - Phase 1 Contractor	\$0.7	\$0.7	2006-06-14	2006-06-14	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
PROJ: Project Planning (1.3) - Phase 2 Government Labor	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-29	2009-09-29	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Project Planning (1.3) - Phase 1 Government	\$0.9	\$0.9	2006-06-14	2006-06-14	2008-09-12	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Base Year Establish The LMAS Program Management Office (PMO)	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-09-15	2008-09-15	2009-09-11	2009-09-11	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Base Year Manage Future LMAS Program Projects	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-09-15	2008-09-15	2009-09-11	2009-09-11	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Base Year Manage the LMAS Program	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-09-15	2008-09-15	2009-09-11	2009-09-11	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Base Year LMAS Communications Strategy	\$0.0	\$0.0	2008-09-15	2008-09-15	2009-09-11	2009-09-11	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Base Year Update OMB Exhibit 300	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-11-19	2008-11-19	2009-04-20	2009-04-20	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Base Year Primavera Tools	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-09-15	2008-09-15	2009-09-11	2009-09-11	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Base Year	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-09-15	2008-09-15	2009-09-11	2009-09-11	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Program Management Information System								
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - Base Year - Government Labor	\$0.2	\$0.2	2008-10-01	2008-10-15	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - Base Year - Government Incentives	\$0.0	\$0.0	2008-10-03	2008-09-30	2009-09-29	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - Option Year - 1 Government Labor	\$0.3	\$0.1	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30		51.75%	51.75%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - Option Year - 1 Government Incentives	\$0.1	\$0.0	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30		51.75%	51.75%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - Option Year - 2 Government Labor	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-29		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - Option Year - 2 Government Incentives	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - Option Year - 3 Government Labor	*	*	2011-10-03		2012-09-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - Option Year - 3 Government Incentives	*	*	2011-10-03		2012-09-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control	*	*	2012-09-14		2013-09-13		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
(1.15) - DNC Option Year 4 Program Management Information System								
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 4 Primavera Tools	*	*	2012-09-14		2013-09-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 4 Update OMB Exhibit 300	*	*	2012-11-05		2013-03-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 4 LMAS Communications Strategy	*	*	2012-09-14		2013-09-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 4 Manage the LMAS Program	*	*	2012-09-14		2013-09-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 4 Manage Future LMAS Program Projects	*	*	2012-09-13		2013-09-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 1 Primavera Tools	\$0.2	\$0.1	2009-09-14	2009-09-14	2010-09-13		71.80%	71.74%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 1 Program Management Information System	\$0.2	\$0.1	2009-09-14	2009-09-14	2010-09-13		48.13%	48.13%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-09-24	2009-09-24	2010-02-16	2010-02-18	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
(1.15) - DNC Option Year 1 Update OMB Exhibit 300								
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 1 LMAS Communications Strategy	\$0.1	\$0.0	2009-09-24	2009-09-24	2010-09-30		52.69%	52.69%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 1 Manage the LMAS Program	\$0.4	\$0.2	2009-09-14	2009-09-14	2010-09-10		48.36%	48.36%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 1 Establish The LMAS Program Management Office (PMO)	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-09-14	2009-09-14	2010-09-10		85.68%	85.94%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 1 Manage Future LMAS Program Projects	\$0.1	\$0.0	2009-09-14	2009-09-14	2010-09-13		56.81%	56.81%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - FY 15- Planning Package Government	\$0.5	\$0.0	2014-10-01	2010-03-12	2015-09-30		0.00%	87.25%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - FY 15 - Future Planning Package - Contractor	*	*	2014-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - FY 14 - Planning Package Government	\$0.4	\$0.0	2013-10-01	2010-03-12	2014-09-30		0.00%	87.65%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring	*	*	2013-10-01		2014-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
and Control (1.15) - FY 14- Future Planning Package - Contractor								
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 2 Manage the LMAS Program	*	*	2010-09-13		2011-09-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 2 LMAS Communications Strategy	*	*	2010-09-13		2011-09-12		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 2 Manage Future LMAS Program Projects	*	*	2010-09-13		2011-09-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 2 Update OMB Exhibit 300	*	*	2010-11-15		2011-04-07		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 2 Primavera Tools	*	*	2010-09-13		2011-09-12		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 2 Program Management Information System	*	*	2010-09-13		2011-09-12		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 2 Maintain The LMAS Program Management Office (PMO)	*	*	2010-09-13		2011-07-01		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 3 Manage the LMAS Program	*	*	2011-09-14		2012-09-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 3 LMAS Communications Strategy	*	*	2011-09-13		2012-09-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 3 Update OMB Exhibit 300	*	*	2011-11-16		2012-04-09		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 3 Primavera Tools	*	*	2011-09-13		2012-09-12		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 3 Program Management Information System	*	*	2011-09-14		2012-09-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 3 Maintain The LMAS Program Management Office (PMO)	*	*	2011-09-13		2012-09-12		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 3 Manage Future LMAS Program Projects	*	*	2011-09-13		2012-09-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - DNC Option Year 4 Maintain The	*	*	2012-09-14		2013-09-06		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
LMAS Program Management Office (PMO)								
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - Option Year -4 Government Labor	*	*	2012-10-01		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: PMO Monitoring and Control (1.15) - Option Year -4 Government Incentives	\$0.1	\$0.0	2012-10-01	2010-03-12	2013-09-30		0.00%	8.05%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Prepare and Equip the Workforce	*	*	2010-12-10		2011-04-18		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - User Training	*	*	2009-12-16		2011-10-26		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Mobilize and Align Leaders	\$0.2	\$0.1	2009-10-26	2009-11-02	2012-01-31		26.33%	22.02%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Engage and Communicate with Stakeholders	\$0.6	\$0.1	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2012-02-07		22.93%	20.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - BPM/Enterprise Document Management Detailed Software Design - Component: BPM (ENPRO7)	*	*	2010-03-18		2010-10-18		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster	\$1.5	\$0.1	2009-10-27	2009-10-27	2011-03-01		3.81%	3.81%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Loans Servicing (1.80) - Extensions: Detailed Software Design - Component (ENPRO7)								
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Business Requirements Definition / Refinement (ENPRO1)	\$1.1	\$0.5	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2010-06-11		87.33%	48.51%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Conversion & Data Migration: Software Construction - Component (ENPRO8)	*	*	2010-04-07		2011-05-12		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Conference Room Pilot 2 (CRP-2)	*	*	2010-09-09		2011-01-04		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Conference Room Pilot 3 (CRP-3)	*	*	2011-01-05		2011-04-18		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Interfaces: Software Construction - Component (ENPRO8)	*	*	2010-08-23		2011-06-14		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - BPM: Software Construction - Component	*	*	2010-09-13		2011-05-03		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
(ENPRO8)								
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Extensions: Software Construction - Component (ENPRO8)	*	*	2010-09-29		2011-05-24		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Accounting Flexfield Analysis	\$0.3	\$0.3	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2010-03-03		100.00%	98.06%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - User System Documentation	*	*	2010-04-21		2011-10-31		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Define Application Setups	\$1.3	\$0.3	2009-11-18	2009-11-08	2011-09-26		22.50%	22.50%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Conference Room Pilot 1 (CRP-1) Mini Sessions	\$0.4	\$0.1	2010-01-27	2010-01-29	2010-05-19		24.62%	15.81%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Reports/Letter : Detailed Software Design - Component (ENPRO7)	*	*	2010-03-24		2010-11-12		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Interfaces: Detailed Software Design - Component	\$2.1	\$0.0	2010-02-24	2010-02-24	2011-01-18		0.47%	0.17%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
(ENPRO7)								
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Reports/Letter Template: Software Construction - Component (ENPRO8)	*	*	2010-11-01		2011-05-16		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Business Process Definition (Organization al Process Update) (ENPRO15)	*	*	2010-02-25		2010-05-25		4.06%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Gap Analysis (Oracle Process)	*	*	2010-03-31		2011-03-09		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Conversion & Data Migration: Detailed Software Design - Component (ENPRO7)	\$0.8	\$0.3	2009-11-30	2009-11-06	2010-06-25		52.48%	42.88%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - System Deployment (ENPRO14)	*	*	2011-02-09		2011-11-21		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Cut-Over Testing	*	*	2011-06-21		2011-10-27		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing	\$0.6	\$0.1	2009-10-27	2009-10-16	2012-01-31		16.86%	14.53%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
(1.80) - Configuration Management								
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Project Start-Up / Execution (PMPRO11)	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2009-12-01	2010-01-07	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Task Order Closeout (PMPRO1)	*	*	2011-10-27		2012-02-02		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Project Execution and Oversight (PMPRO13)	\$4.3	\$0.5	2009-10-13	2009-10-19	2012-01-31		15.86%	11.38%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Task Order Management (PMPRO10)	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2010-02-05	2010-02-05	100.00%	100.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Security and Compliance	\$0.6	\$0.1	2009-11-13	2009-11-13	2011-11-21		10.09%	8.27%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - System Integration Testing (ENPRO12)	*	*	2011-01-27		2011-07-26		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Performance Testing (ENPRO12)	*	*	2011-06-14		2011-09-09		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans	*	*	2011-04-08		2011-10-07		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Servicing (1.80) - User Acceptance Testing (ENPRO13) PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - System Test - Oracle with Extensions (ENPRO11)	*	*	2011-01-27		2011-06-13		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Test Planning and Script Development (ENPRO9)	*	*	2010-05-11		2011-05-17		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Government Labor and Incentives	\$6.5	\$0.3	2009-10-13	2009-10-13	2012-02-13		15.65%	14.51%
PROJ: Disaster Loans Servicing (1.80) - Post Production Support-1	*	*	2011-06-06		2012-02-15		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Admin Costs(1.200) - Project Related Infrastructure Expenses	\$2.1	\$0.5	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2013-09-30		48.93%	47.55%
PROJ: Admin Costs(1.200) - Project Related Overhead Expenses	\$3.0	\$0.8	2006-01-02	2006-01-02	2015-09-30		26.09%	25.40%
PROJ: On-Site Functional Support for Disaster(1.210) - Disaster Loan Support	*	*	2010-10-01		2012-02-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: On-Site Functional Support for 7(a)(1.220) - On-Site Functional	*	*	2011-03-11		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Support for 7(a)								
PROJ: On-Site Functional Support for 504(1.230) - 504 Loan Support	*	*	2013-03-01		2014-06-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: On-Site Functional Support for Loan Origination(1.240) - Functional Support for Loan Origination	*	*	2013-12-02		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Hosting Functional Help Desk(1.250) - Functional Help Desk	*	*	2010-10-01		2018-09-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Data Conversion Contractor(1.260) - Data Conversion Contractor	*	*	2010-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Program Level Management Reserve - Program Level MR Stage 5	*	*	2013-10-01		2014-10-01		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Program Level Management Reserve - Program Level MR Stage 6	*	*	2014-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Program Level Management Reserve - Program Level MR Stage 1	*	*	2010-03-03		2010-10-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Program Level Management Reserve - Program Level MR	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Stage 2								
PROJ: Program Level Management Reserve - Program Level MR Stage 3	*	*	2011-10-03		2012-09-28		0.00%	0.00%
PROJ: Program Level Management Reserve - Program Level MR Stage 4	*	*	2012-09-28		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

* - Indicates data is redacted.